(3)

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P. No. 117 /2005

PETITIONER :- Chandrawati W/o Shiv Pratap, aged about 27 years, by caste Gond, R/o. W-9 village Malakdol, P.S. & Tah. Bharatpur, Distt. Koria (C.G.).

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :-

1) The State of Chhattisgarh Through- Collector, Koria (C.G.).

2) The Election Commission, Through - Returning Officer, Koria (C.G.).

3) The Assistant Returning Officer, Block Kajiya, Distt. Koria Baikunthpur (C.G.).

4) Churaman S/o Shyam Lal,

5) Samay Lal S/o Ramesh Lal,

6) Ram Mahiwat, S/o Sundar Lal,

7) Indrabhan S/o Indrapal,

8) Mangaldeen S/o Shiv Charan,

9) Bachhi S/o Ramsharan.

All R/o. village Malakdol, Tah. & P.S. Bharatpur, Distt. Koria (C.G.).

10) Gorelal S/o Lambhu.

11) Motilal S/o Galla.

12) Bilai S/o Chhatradhari

All R/o village Mannod, Tah. Bharatpur, Distt. Koria (C.G.)

13) Salamha S/o Thadagu

14) Mohelal S/o Garahu

All R/o.vill. Bhumka, Tah. Bharatpur, Distt. Koria (C.G.)

P.R. No. 136/05 Presented by Sri B.K. Sharma Date 12/1/05

ATTESTED COPY
Advocate
12/D/05

~~1~~5)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P. (L) 17/ सन् 2005

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	19.1.2005 On a mention being made, the matter is taken up for hearing. Shri Malay Kumar Bhaduri, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Pramod Kumar Verma, Additional Advocate General for the State/ respondents No.1 to 3. Heard both the counsel. The petitioner's case is that she is the resident of Village: Malakdol, Post: Kajia, Tehsil: Bharatpur, District: Korea, and she had filed her nomination to contest the election for the post of Sarpanch. However, her nomination has been rejected on the ground that some dues are outstanding against her. Even, no rejection order is annexed with the petition. The election is scheduled to be held tomorrow. Therefore, at this stage, interference by this Court in the election process is unwarranted, and the petitioner may avail alternative remedy available to her under the law. In the circumstances, the petition is liable to be dismissed, and it is accordingly, dismissed, at the admission stage itself. Consequently, M.(W.)P.No.102/2005 and I.A.No.263/2005 stand disposed of. **Sd/-** **L.C. Bhadoo** **Judge**	